

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,259	10/22/2001	Paolo Corvi Mora	0558-4018	6043
7	7590 07/21/2003			
Morgan & Finnegan			EXAMINER	
345 Park Avenue New York, NY 10154			WARE, TODD	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
			DATE MAILED: 07/21/2003	aggreent

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·:		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/01,9,259	BENCZUR-UER-N	MOESSY, GABOR			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Todd D Ware	1615				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence ad	dress			
Period fo			- MONTH (O) 500M				
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failure - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of will expire SIX (6) and will expire SIX (6).	ay a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered timely MONTHS from the mailing date of this or the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 F	ebruary 2002		•			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowards closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal Ex parte Quayle, 1935	matters, prosecution as to th C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	e merits is			
•	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-8</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[_							
,	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
_	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) L	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o ion Papers	r election requirement.					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			er.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
·	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	a)).	Stage			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•		l application).			
,	a) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional application ha	s been received.	,			
Attachmer	•	. ,					
1)	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	riew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No e of Informal Patent Application (PT :				

Application/Control Number: 10/019,259

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt of amendments filed 4-29-03 and 5-13-03 is acknowledged. Claims 1-8 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

1. Newly submitted claims 6-8 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: the two groups are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used for wound healing.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 6-8 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/019,259

Art Unit: 1615

3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 1 and 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ratsimamanga et al (3,366,669; hereafter '669).
- 6. '669 teaches salts of asiatic acid with organic bases to produce water soluble, wound healing derivatives of asiatic acid where the organic bases differ as adjacent homologs to those of the instant claims. Accordingly, the claimed invention would have been obvious to the skilled artisan because close structural similarity of the reference compound suggests the claimed compound. One skilled in the art would expect the two compounds to have similar properties. The ratio of organic base and asiatic acid

Application/Control Number: 10/019,259

Art Unit: 1615

required in instant claim 5 would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention with the motivation of ensuring complete formation of the salt form.

- 7. Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ratsimamanga et al (3,366,669; hereafter '669) in view of Mason, Jr. et al (4,393,048; hereafter '048).
- 8. '669 is relied upon for all that it teaches as stated previously. '669 does not teach the salts in a gel.
- 9. '048 teaches gel wound healing medicament formulations that protect the wound from bacteria and carry a medicine to the wound.
- 10. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine '669 and '048 with the motivation of providing a carrier or vehicle for the asiatic acid salts and also providing a protective layer or film over the wound. The ratio of asiatic salt to water considered obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention with the motivation of adjusting the water content dependent upon to materials used and the use to which the composition is put (e.g. manner of application) along with adjustment of the amount of asiatic acid to achieve a desired strength of the medicament. No patentable weight is afforded the process limitations of instant claim 3.

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments filed 4-29-03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the instant claims are allowable over the prior art on the basis that the compositions of the instant claims provide unexpected results over the prior art. To support this position, Applicant relies upon Example 7 of the specification.

Application/Control Number: 10/019,259

Art Unit: 1615

However, this example does not compare the compositions of the prior art and is directed to methods. The instant claims are directed toward compositions and no explanation as to the unexpected results over the compositions of the prior art are provided. Furthermore, the data provided in the example pointed out by Applicant are directed toward specific formulations and the claims are not commensurate in scope.

Conclusion

12. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Todd D Ware whose telephone number is (703) 305-1700. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 AM - 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on (703)308-2927. The fax phone

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/019,259

Art Unit: 1615

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4556 for regular communications and (703) 308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

tw July 17, 2003

THURMAN K PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATEMISER 1600